CL:AIRE remediation projects and the sustainable remediation initiative SuRF-UK

SAFESPUR Workshop 14th October 2010 Birmingham

Dr Rob Sweeney, CL:AIRE

CONTAMINATED LAND: APPLICATIONS IN REAL ENVIRONMENTS

CEAIRE

1 GREAT CUMBERLAND PLACE | 7TH FLOOR | LONDON | W1H 7AL T - 020 7258 5321 | F - 020 7258 5322 | www.claire.co.uk

Contents

- Introduction
- CL:AIRE Review Process
- Demonstration Projects
 - TDP24 TESVE
 - TDP13 PRB
 - TDP25 Chemical oxidation
 - TDP31 Electrochemical/novel adsorbent
- SuRF-UK Initiative
- Summary

Introduction to CL:AIRE

- Independent not-for-profit organisation set up by Govt and SAGTA in 1999
- Objectives include:
 - to stimulate the regeneration of contaminated land in the UK by raising awareness of, and confidence in, practical and sustainable remediation technologies and effective methods for monitoring and investigating sites.
 - to disseminate technology demonstrations and research

CL:AIRE Review Process

- Scientific validity of the application;
- Robust nature of the methodology;
- Contribution to the UK contaminated land marketplace;
- Suitable assessment of site criteria; and
- Competencies in forms of project management

Technology and Research Group

Mike Pearl – UKAEA (Chair) Mike Summersgill – RSK Ltd (Deputy chair) Bob Barnes – Environment Agency Dr Brian Bone – independent Dr John Campbell – independent Prof Max Coleman – Caltech Steve Edgar – Vertase FLI Dr Theresa Kearney – Northern Ireland Environment Agency Dr David Lerner – Uni of Sheffield Prof Phil Morgan – The Sirius Group Dr Mike Rivett – Uni of Birmingham Prof Jonathan Smith – Shell Global Solutions

Application of thermally enhanced soil vapour extraction to remediate the unsaturated zone at the Western Storage Area, Harwell (Provectus Group and RSRL)

Background

•Until 1930s: Racehorse stables

•1935 to 1946: RAF airfield

Nuclear R&D site for over 40 years

•Since mid-1990s, focus on decommissioning and clean up for redevelopment ("Harwell Science and Innovation Campus")



Western Storage Area (WSA)

- 25 shallow pits (4-5 m) used for disposal of chlorinated solvents (approx 20 tonnes) and other chemicals
- Pits were excavated and contents removed in 2004
- Residual suite of VOCs & hydrocarbons in unsaturated zone of Chalk up to c25 mbgl

Project Objectives

- Target contaminants, reduce loading significantly & minimise emissions
- Undertake pilot trial design & configure remediation evaluating multiple techniques
- Undertake phased remediation as NDA funding becomes available



LAIR

()

Pilot Trial

 Site characterisation to gain <u>current</u> data on unsaturated zone contamination profile

Test SVE technology application

•Examine:

-Conventional SVE

-Targeted depths

- -Assistance of air/ozone sparging
- -Thermal enhancement

Recommendations

Recommended that full-scale remediation of the unsaturated zone is undertaken within the WSA comprising:

- •SVE in the vicinity of the former chemical waste disposal pits.
- Thermal enhancement of the SVE in areas of gross contamination.



Methodology

 Conductive heating and vacuum extraction applied simultaneously to the impacted zone

•Heater contains an electrically powered heating element with an operating temperature of 500-800°C

•Heat transfer by thermal conduction can give rise to target zone heating between 100-350°C

•Contaminants are partitioned into the vapour phase. Vapours are collected continuously using centrally located SVE



Results and Conclusions

Extraction Rates:

-At start of un-enhanced trial - 3kg/day

–During Phase 1 thermal enhancement - 17kg/day

-End of Phase 2 enhancement - 3kg/day

-During Phase 3 enhancement - 2kg/day

-Following Phase 3 enhancement - 0.3kg/day

•No free product in nearby groundwater monitoring wells

•VOC and SVOC concentrations in condensate are significantly lower following TESVE

•Estimate of total mass of contaminants removed from WSA

unsaturated zone was approximately 1 tonne

Final TDP24 Report is available from CL:AIRE website.

TDP13 Case Study

Passive treatment of severely contaminated colliery spoil leachate using a permeable reactive barrier

The Tyelaw Burn and Shilbottle spoil heap lie in Northumberland

One of the worst quality spoil or mine waters in the UK: pH < 3.5; Acidity ~ 6,000 mg/L as $CaCO_3$ Fe ~ 1,100 mg/L; Mn ~ 300 mg/L; Al ~ 700 mg/L SO₄ ~ 15,000 mg/L

Problem: Seepage of water of this quality, from a perched water table, through the pyritic spoil into Tyelaw Burn.

Solution: Permeable Reactive Barrier (PRB) was designed.

- a trench ~ 180 m in length by 2-3 m width and depth
- Laboratory tests were used to identify suitable reactive media for the PRB to:
 - generate alkalinity
 - immobilise metals
 - have an appropriate permeability

•Mixed substrate of 25% composted horse manure, 25% green waste compost, and 50% limestone gravel.













CONTAMINATED LAND: APPLICATIONS IN REAL ENVIRONMENTS

Results & indicative costs

• Concentrations of both iron and aluminium were typically reduced by in excess of 90%, and acidity concentration decreased from a mean of 2,500 mg/L as CaCO₃ to < 500 mg/L as CaCO₃.

| | Passive system | Active s <mark>ystem</mark> |
|--|----------------|-----------------------------|
| Capital expenditure – PRB and lagoons | £78,000 | £200,0 <mark>00</mark> |
| Capital expenditure – wetland | £200,000 | - |
| Operational expenditure | £7,500 / year | £44,500 /year |

Active System - High Density Sludge Plant

Available as CL:AIRE TDP13 report from website

CONTAMINATED LAND: APPLICATIONS IN REAL ENVIRONMENTS

Decision support tool for innovative in situ multicontaminant groundwater remediation

This project involved WorleyParsons, Imperial College London, National Grid Property Ltd, Environment Agency and Bradford City Council.

An experimental facility was constructed at a former gasworks site to provide comparative in situ technology trials.

 investigate the performance of various oxidation technologies in the field

 laboratory analyses to assess the controls on the chemical reaction rates of the oxidants used in the field trials

provide innovative modelling tools for the interpretation of the field data

formulate a decision support framework

Four comparative trials were undertaken:

- 1. Catalysed hydrogen peroxide
- 2. Sodium persulfate trial
- 3. Enhanced bioremediation trial (gPRO, super-saturated oxygenated water)
- 4. Control





Fieldwork outcomes

Comparative trials of catalysed hydrogen peroxide (CHP) and sodium persulfate (SP)

٠

- Lifespan of SP was 3 days and CHP 1
 day
- Rapid breakthrough of oxidant observed (approx 30 mins for a point 3 m from injection point)
- Oxidants caused lowering of gw pH, esp. for SP trial, where pH values < 3 occurred for prolonged periods (>20 days)
- Significant increases in iron (SP and CHP), sulphate (SP), sodium (SP), copper (SP) and nickel (SP) concentrations were detected
- gPro trials showed that optimum conditions for aerobic degradation were not achieved due to excess oxygen demand



Modelling outcomes

- Importance of hydraulic fracturing in the efficient delivery of oxidant identified
- New model of hydraulic fracturing with 'leak-off' developed
- Decision Support Tool (Excel spreadsheet incorporating Visual Basic macros) developed to aid use of ISCO in remediation decision making



and bulletin will be completed later this year

TDP31 Case Study

Demonstration of the Arvia® Process of adsorption coupled with electrochemical regeneration for the on-site, ex-situ, decomposition of organic contaminants in groundwater

The Arvia technology is based on two key elements:

(i) A novel, non-porous, highly conducting and dense carbon-based adsorbent material (Nyex®) for the adsorption of organic contaminants, which is capable of rapid electrochemical regeneration.

(ii) A treatment unit where adsorption and electrochemical regeneration can be achieved within a single unit, either continuously or sequentially

Main Processes

Adsorption

Adsorption is achieved by mixing the Nyex® and effluent through fluidising the adsorbent particles, where vigorous mixing and the non-porous nature of the Nyex® results in quick adsorption.

Sedimentation

 Sedimentation is achieved when the fluidising air is switched off and the dense Nyex® particles settle rapidly under the influence of gravity to form a bed.

Electrochemical Destruction

Two electrodes are placed either side of the bed of particles and a direct electric current is passed through the bed which destroys the pollutant through direct and indirect oxidation of the organic matter to water, carbon dioxide and a small amount of hydrogen. This also serves to regenerate the adsorbent ready for immediate reuse.





Continuous treatment unit

CONTAMINATED LAND: APPLICATIONS IN REAL ENVIRONMENTS

Sequential batch unit

CLEALR

Sites

- Site 1 Former agrochemical facility (Vertase FLI)
 - treat a portion of the effluent after biological treatment
- Site 2 Petrol station site (Geo2 Remediation)
 - treat petrol/diesel contaminants using Arvia's 6 cell pilotscale sequential batch reactor

- Results
- Comparison with GAC
 - Carbon footprint
 - Gaseous emissions
 - Costs
- Final report by end of 2010 including lessons learned

SuRF-UK - Background

- Established in 2007, following the lead of SuRF.
- UK-based collaboration of regulators, industry, academics and consultants. Open forum meetings.
- Independent co-ordination by CL:AIRE (<u>www.claire.co.uk/surfuk</u>)
- Focus on holistic sustainability assessment of
 - Remediation input to high-level land-use planning
 - Remediation input to overall site / project design ('Better by Design')
 - Remedial strategy selection and remediation technology selection
 - Remediation implementation and verification
- Goals
 - A framework for assessing sustainable remediation
 - Sustainability indicator review



www.claire.co.uk/surfuk

Steering Committee

- Prof. Jonathan Smith, Shell Global Solutions (Chair)
- Prof. Paul Bardos, r3 Environmental Technology Ltd
- Dr Brian Bone, independent
- Dr Richard Boyle, Homes and Communities Agency
- Dr David Ellis, Du Pont
- Nicola Harries, CL:AIRE
- Alison Hukin, Environment Agency
- Scott Lewis, National Grid Properties Ltd



Drivers

- Industry (SAGTA)
 - Good practice, business ethics, sustainable procurement, CSR
- Regulatory (and indeed cross-sectoral)
 - Appropriate and reasonable solutions
 - Soil Framework Directive (draft); Water Framework Directive
- Planning
 - Sustainability tests in planning applications
 - Sustainability criteria in regional and local spatial planning
- Cross-sectoral backing in the UK
- Also response to worldwide interest:
 - EU (NICOLE, SuRF-UK, SuRF-NL?, EURODEMO+)
 - USA (e.g. SuRF, US EPA "green remediation", ASTM)
 - Canada, Australia



www.claire.co.uk/surfuk

Sustainable remediation: SuRF-UK definition

 'the practice of demonstrating, in terms of environmental, economic and social indicators, that the benefit of undertaking remediation is greater than its impact and that the optimum remediation solution is selected through the use of a balanced decision-making process'



SuRF-UK: Key principles

- Optimise risk-management based on consideration of social, environmental and economic factors, but always ensure:
 - **Principle 1:** Protection of human health and the wider environment
 - **Principle 2:** Safe working practices
 - Principle 3: Consistent, clear and reproducible evidence-based decision-making
 - Principle 4: Record keeping and transparent reporting.
 - **Principle 5:** Good governance and stakeholder involvement
 - Principle 6: Sound science



www.claire.co.uk/surfuk

SuRF-UK, www.claire.co.uk/surfuk



Contaminated Land Policy Team Department for Environment, Food and

Director General, Department for the Economy and Transport Welsh Assembly

reference hearney

Theresa Kearney **Principal Scientific Officer** Northern Ireland Environment Agency within the Department of the Environment



www.claire.co.uk/surfuk

SUSTAINABLE REMEDIATION FORUM UK



Sustainability is wide-ranging in its scope: SuRF-UK headlines (in development)

| Environmental | Social | Economic |
|---|---|--|
| Impacts on air (including climate change; Impacts on soil; Impacts on water; Impacts on ecology; Use of natural resources and generation of wastes; Intrusiveness. | Impacts on human health and safety; Ethical and equity considerations; Impacts on neighbourhoods or regions; Community involvement and satisfaction; Compliance with policy objectives and strategies; Uncertainty and evidence. | Direct economic costs and benefits; Indirect economic costs and benefits; Employment and capital gain; Gearing; Life-span and 'project risks'; Project flexibility. |



SuRF-UK Phase 2

- Objectives:
 - Trial the framework with real cases studies
 - Investigate the indicator categories further
 - Benchmark different assessment methods for the same site(s)
- Timescale
 - April 2010 to April 2011

[NB. Defra-funded research project, applying the SuRF-UK sustainability indicators to remediation technologies. Report released by end of year.]



www.claire.co.uk/surfuk

Summary of key achievements and ongoing initiatives

- Evaluated and Approved over 50 Projects through Technology & Research Group
- Produced over 70 Publications
- Dissemination to Contacts Database of ~5000
- Always looking for new demonstration & research projects & industry initiatives (DefCoP & Cluster, SuRF-UK, Qualifications, development of CL:AIRE Membership etc)

Thank you

rob.sweeney@claire.co.uk

www.claire.co.uk

Remember, remember the 4th November.... *The Story of Enabling Works at the Olympic Park* CL:AIRE Conference at One Great George Street

CEAIRE

CONTAMINATED LAND: APPLICATIONS IN REAL ENVIRONMENTS

1 GREAT CUMBERLAND PLACE | 7TH FLOOR | LONDON | W1H 7AL T - 020 7258 5321 | F - 020 7258 5322 | www.claire.co.uk